

## CITY OF SANTA BARBARA STAFF HEARING OFFICER

RESOLUTION NO. 028-12 213 LOS ALAMOS AVENUE MODIFICATION July 25, 2012

APPLICATION OF THOMAS MEANEY, ARCHITECT FOR THE NAGLER FAMILY TRUST, 213 LOS ALAMOS AVENUE, APN 045-194-001, E-3 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL/SD-3 COASTAL OVERLAY ZONES, GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL 5 DU/ACRE (MST2012-00240)

The existing 6,098 square foot site is currently developed with a 1,529 square foot residence with an attached two-car garage. The proposed project involves a 238 square foot single-story addition to an existing one-story, single-family residence.

The discretionary application required for this project is a <u>Modification</u> to allow new construction and alterations within the required six-foot interior setback (SBMC §28.15.060 & 28.92.110).

The Environmental Analyst has determined that the project is exempt from further environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Guidelines Section 15301 (Existing Facilities).

WHEREAS, the Staff Hearing Officer has held the required public hearing on the above application, and the Applicant was present.

WHEREAS, no one appeared to speak either in favor or in opposition of the application thereto, and the following exhibits were presented for the record:

- 1. Staff Report with Attachments, July 18, 2012.
- 2. Site Plans
- 3. Correspondence received in support of the project:
  - a. Glenn Davis, Santa Barbara, CA.
- 4. Correspondence received in opposition to the project:
  - a. Paula Westbury, Santa Barbara, CA.

## NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Staff Hearing Officer:

- I. Approved the Modification making the findings and determinations that it is consistent with the purposes and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and is necessary to secure an appropriate improvement on the lot. The proposal involves a very small addition of useable floor area within the setback, and is not expected to negatively impact adjacent neighbors, as the proposed addition is consistent with the residential use of the property.
- II. Said approval is subject to the condition that the new window in the proposed addition located within the interior setback shall be revised to be a clerestory window.

STAFF HEARING OFFICER RESOLUTION NO. 028–12 213 Los Alamos Avenue JULY 25, 2012 PAGE 2

This motion was passed and adopted on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012, by the Staff Hearing Officer of the City of Santa Barbara.

I hereby certify that this Resolution correctly reflects the action taken by the City of Santa Barbara Staff Hearing Officer at its meeting of the above date.

7/26/12

Date

1. This action of the Staff Hearing Officer can be appealed to the Planning Commission or the City Council within ten (10) days after the date the action was taken by the Staff Hearing Officer.

PLEASE BE ADVISED:

- 2. If the scope of work exceeds the extent described in the Modification request or that which was represented to the Staff Hearing Officer at the public hearing, it may render the Staff Hearing Officer approval null and void.
- 3. If you have any existing zoning violations on the property, other than those included in the conditions above, they must be corrected within thirty (30) days of this action.
- PLEASE NOTE: A copy of this resolution shall be reproduced on the first sheet of the 4. drawings submitted with the application for a building permit. The location, size and design of the construction proposed in the application for the building permit shall not deviate from the location, size and design of construction approved in this modification.
- 5. NOTICE OF APPROVAL TIME LIMITS: The Staff Hearing Officer's action approving the Performance Standard Permit or Modifications shall expire two (2) years from the date of the approval, per SBMC §28.87.360, unless:
  - A building permit for the construction authorized by the approval is issued within a. twenty four months of the approval. (An extension may be granted by the Staff Hearing Officer if the construction authorized by the permit is being diligently pursued to completion.) or;
  - The approved use has been discontinued, abandoned or unused for a period of six b. months following the earlier of:
    - i. an Issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the use, or;
    - ii. one (1) year from granting the approval.